

# State, Local, and Tribal Terrorism Prevention

Narrative  
2010-H1147-MN-TR  
ObjectForce  
Christopher Roller  
TruthUnderOath Service

## Statement of the Problem

Interrogation can be a complex and political subject. There are rules against torturing people to try to get information from them, and any information given could be faulty. So how do we determine guilt or innocence of a terrorist suspect? TruthUnderOath (TUO) prevents people from lying under oath so any information given will be the truth. You simply have to know what questions to ask and carefully analyze the answers given. I have past civil cases, to show you as evidence, where defendants are unable to deny felony accusations, inferring guilt. Answers not given are just as important as those that are given. TUO is already in place, is very simple (almost too simple to believe), uses the current legal system, doesn't require any torture methods, and is 100% accurate when properly conducted.

## Program Design and Implementation - Capabilities/Competencies

Christopher Roller is a master mentalist. Have you ever seen the Amazing Kreskin? <http://www.amazingkreskin.com>. Like Chris, Kreskin is a mentalist. Through mentalism and the power of suggestion, he can prevent a person from raising a subjects arm, or prevent him from speaking. So I while studying books and DVDs for years, and through the same methodology, through mentalism and power of suggestion, I prevent people from lying under oath now. I call it the Truth under Oath service, designed to provide the truth for those who need

it. I prevent a person from signing their signature if the document contains lies, and I stop a person from speaking if it is going to be a lie. And it's global, wherever oath is administered.

What I'm saying is that perjury is now obsolete.

You might be asking, "Prove this works. Show me how it works."

I will prevent you from signing the following statements.

-----

**First, the subject is told he can keep the document he's about to sign so he can discard it (burn it).**

The subject is then asked to sign the following **truthful?** statement before a notary public,

I do hereby swear and declare under oath

Many (>1000 people) can lie under oath (without punishment) if they want to. In other words, perjury is possible.

\_\_\_\_\_

Sworn before me and notarized by \_\_\_\_\_ dated \_\_\_\_\_

But the subject doesn't sign it because he can't, because it's a lie. Perjury is no longer possible.

The subject is then asked to sign the following lie (or make up your own) before a notary public, to prove the previous statement true.

I do hereby swear and declare under oath,

My name is John Doe and I am 8'0" tall.

\_\_\_\_\_

Sworn before me and notarized by \_\_\_\_\_ dated \_\_\_\_\_

But the subject doesn't sign it because he can't because it's a lie.

The subject is then asked to sign the following before a notary public,

I do hereby swear and declare under oath

Chris Roller is not responsible for "Truth under Oath" service.

\_\_\_\_\_

Sworn before me and notarized by \_\_\_\_\_ dated \_\_\_\_\_

But the subject doesn't sign it because he can't because it's a lie. It's my service.

This works via mentalism and the power of suggestion.

-----

What I'm saying, is that lie detectors, voice and stress analyzers, DNA, probes, etc. are no longer needed. Those techniques are erroneous and now obsolete. My service is guaranteed 100% accurate of the truth. Water boarding and other torture methods are not needed to find out if someone is lying. Just get them under oath.

For employee screening, take their resume, put "I swear under oath" at the top, and have the perspective employee notarize it at the bottom. If they can sign it, it's the truth. Can't sign it, and it means there is a lie in the document somewhere.

TUO is simple and inexpensive. Just get a sworn statement from the alleged terrorist. Can't sign an exonerated statement, then he/she did the crime. The service also works verbally which can expedite the interrogation process.

The funding of TUO by usdoj.gov will solidify a service as incredible as it is elusive. People aren't even aware that this service is in place. Not many try to lie under oath. Those that try are not so willing to divulge that "Hey, I can't lie under oath", which would be like divulging an attempted crime. TUO will revolutionize the justice system (it already is). I can show you cases I've been in, with statements of the accused unable to deny my accusations of felony behavior. TUO does not cough up the truth, but incredibly enough, prevents lies under oath. If you are accused, and say nothing (plead the 5<sup>th</sup>), you did it. Pleading the 5<sup>th</sup> does not incriminate you, but it answers a lot of questions a suspect doesn't feel like answering. Enough answers so that the prosecution can redirect and hone in on the proper questions to achieve the answers needed for a conviction and stopping future terrorist attempts.

The system is currently implemented, extremely simple to use, and very powerful. My staff is any notary in the United States. Attorneys can monitor the system, and provide feedback to me. Any questions/comments can also be directed to me. Any notary can screen and evaluate the terrorist suspect with an exoneration statement. The service can also be applied verbally for faster interrogation. Sheriffs and US Marshals can then use the sworn statements to file charges against the terrorist suspect.

Christopher Roller will provide any training needed to guide the notary publics and attorneys.

The questions asked can be crucial when interrogating a suspect under oath. I will provide

guidance to help in asking the right questions, analyze the answers given, and better formulate strategies when suspects are pleading the 5<sup>th</sup>.

### **Impact/Outcomes, Evaluation, Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting Data for Performance Measures**

There is a very simple collection mechanism for analysis of the outcome. Simply notice who's not able to sign an exoneration statement, report the results back to me (ObjectForce / TruthUnderOath.com). Notary publics and attorneys can be used as key players for the communication of the TUO service. Currently the service is elusive and misunderstood. As soon as the federal grant ends and the government understand how incredible, easy and reliable this service is, terrorism prevention will never be simpler.

Project performance will be monitored by attorney generals of the state. Timelines and deliverables will be set by court police sheriffs and marshals. The customers and stakeholders will be the notary publics, attorney's, sheriffs, and marshals, involved in statements under oath, and providing feedback of interrogation methods.

I've noticed performance measures describes who is trained and how effectively. Again truth under oath is fairly simple. It is the questions that need to be asked, and the analysis of the answers given (or lack of answers given) that court officials (notary publics, attorney's, marshals and sheriffs) will need to share with each other to better interrogate a terrorist suspect.

### **Conclusion**

For very complex problems, like terrorism prevention, sometimes the solution is far simpler than ever believed possible before. TUO implements a portion of the legal system that was missing,

preventing people from lying while under oath. TUO will revolutionize terrorism prevention, as TUO is already in place, is very simple (almost too simple to believe), uses the current legal system, doesn't require any torture methods, and is 100% accurate when properly conducted.